Influence of Ni addition on mechanical and magnetic properties of yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia

H. Kondo*, T. Sekino, Y.-H. Choa*, T. Kusunose, T. Nakayama and K. Niihara

The Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research (ISIR), Osaka University, Mihogaoka 8-1, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan ^aMaterials Science and Engineering, Chonbuk National University, Duckjin-Dong, Duckjin-Gu, Chonju, Chonbuk 561-756, Korea

Effect of NiO addition on microstructure, stability of tetragonal phase and the mechanical properties of yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia was investigated. (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution was obtained by pressureless sintering. The fracture toughness was increased by solid solution of NiO. Neither reaction phase nor glassy phase was observed at the grain boundaries. From these results, it was determined that solid solution of NiO was destabilized tetragonal phase of Y-TZP. Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite that contained nano-sized Ni particles was also fabricated by internal reduction method. Some evaluations and discussions were carried out for both (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution and Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite.

Key words: Y-TZP, NiO, Ni, Internal reduction, Solid solution, Nanocomposite.

Introduction

Yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystal (Y-TZP) has excellent mechanical properties such as bending strength and fracture toughness. It is now generally accepted that the enhancement of fracture strength and toughness of Y-TZP can be attributed mainly to martensitic transformation, which is tetragonal to monoclinic (t-m) phase transformation.

It has been reported that addition of small amount of oxide to Y-TZP improved some properties, such as sinterability, low-temperature-degradation resistance, ionic conductivity and so on. Tsubakino et al. [1] reported that low temperature degradation resistance to t-m phase transformation was improved by adding only 1.2 wt% of Al2O3. Solid solution of HfO2, Ta2O5 and Nb₂O₅ to Y-TZP was also reported [2, 3], and the addition of these oxides increases the tetragonal distortion of the cubic fluorite lattice resulting in enhancing t-m transformability and fracture toughness. On the other hand, solubility of NiO, Al2O3 and TiO2 to yttria-fullystabilized zirconia (Y-FSZ) was reported by Chen et al. [4] and it was found that the solubility of NiO into Y-FSZ was approximately 5 to 10 mol%. However, solid solution of NiO to Y-TZP has not been investigated yet.

Nanocomposites are well known by their higher strength and unique functional properties. Recently, softer or weaker materials than ceramic matrix are used as a second phase dispersion and it was revealed that even these materials could improve mechanical properties of ceramic matrix. Sekino *et al.* [5] reported that metal which is softer and weaker than ceramics was effective as a second phase dispersoid, and in Al₂O₃/Ni system, some good results were obtained.

In this work, the influence of NiO addition on some properties of Y-TZP was investigated. In addition, Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite was fabricated by internal reduction method and microstructure, mechanical properties and magnetic properties will be discussed.

Experimental procedure

All experimental work was performed using high-purity zirconia which contains 3 mol% Y₂O₃ (Sumitomo Osaka Cement Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) and NiO (Nakalai Tesque Inc., Kyoto, Japan). Table 1 shows some information about the characteristics of the powders. Zirconia and NiO powders were mixed by ball-milling. NiO contents in the mixed powders were 0, 0.3, 0.6, 1.5 mol%, that correspond to 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 vol% of metallic Ni for Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite after reduc-

Table 1. Some properties of starting powderes

Tetragonal ZrO ₂ NiO			
Purity		99.3%	> 97%
Grain size		33 nm	0.1~1 μm
Main impurities	SiO ₂	0.0042 wt%	Cu < 0.05%
	Fe ₂ O	3 0.0004 wt%	Zn < 0.05%
	TiO ₂	0.0015 wt%	Fe < 0.05%
	C1	0.0075 wt%	Co < 0.05%

*Corresponding author: Tel:+81-6-6879-8441 Fax:+81-6-6879-8444

E-mail: meteor15@sanken.osaka-u.ac.jp


tion. The mixed powders were uniaxially pressed and followed by cold isostatic pressing at 200 MPa. The green bodies with the shape of rectangular bar were sintered at 1500°C for 24 h in air to obtain solid solution of Y-TZP and NiO that was referred to in this paper as (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution. Then (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution was heated again in a reducing atmosphere at 1300°C for 2.5 h to get Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite. The obtained rectangular bars of (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution and Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite were grinded and polished to the size of $3 \times 4 \times 40$ mm. The following evaluations were carried out for both (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution and Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite.

The densities of sintered bodies were determined by Archimedes' method using toluene. The phase identification was performed by X-ray powder diffractometry XRD) with CuKα radiation. The amount of monoclinic phase was estimated from XRD analysis using Toraya's equation [6]. Fracture strength was measured by three-point-bending test, and fracture toughness was obtained by indentation fracture (IF) method. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to observe the microstructures and to determine the grain size of Y-TZP matrix. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) employed to observe the particle size of precipitated Ni and interfaces between zirconia grain and Ni. Magnetic properties such as coercivities and saturation magnetizations were obtained from magnetization curves measured by SQUID magnetometer.

Result and discussion

Y. Ni)-TZP solid solution

Ramesh et al. [7] have reported 2.5Y-TZP and CuO system. In the system, CuO and Y₂O₃ formed a liquid phase at the sintering temperature (1250~1500°C). However, in the present study, detailed TEM observation for grain boundaries of Y-TZP-0.3 mol% NiO revealed glassy phase did not exist, as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, it was considered that NiO was not existed as glassy phase at grain boundary but solid-soluted in



1. TEM image for interface between ZrO₂ grains of (Y, Ni)-

ZrO2.

Dense specimens were successfully obtained with only 0 and 0.3 mol% of NiO addition. Further doping of NiO made microcracks in the sintered body. XRD analysis revealed that monoclinic phase of sintered bodies was increased with increasing NiO content, and the solid solution containing 0.3 mol% of NiO showed almost only tetragonal phase. Y-TZP-0.3 mol% NiO solid solution was mentioned as (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution in the rest of this paper.

Although the fracture strength of (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution (590 MPa) was low compared with Y-TZP monolith (710 MPa) because of larger grain size of matrix (1 µm) than Y-TZP monolith (0.8 µm), however, the fracture toughness for the former measured by IF method was found to be remarkably high. To explain the improvement of fracture toughness, change of the stability of tetragonal zirconia matrix must be considered. In case of zirconia, the kind and the amount of stabilizer strongly affects the stability of tetragonal phase. Ionic radii of Ni2+ and Zr4+ for the oxygen coordination number of 6 were 0.69 and 0.72 respectively. In the case of coordination number of 8, the ionic radius of Ni²⁺ is considered to be smaller than that of Zr4+. From this point, it is concluded that solid solution of NiO increases the tetragonal distortion of the cubic fluorite lattice, in other words, solid solution of NiO destabilized tetragonal phase of zirconia. In addition, the grain size of matrix became larger than Y-TZP monolith. It can be concluded that these facts cause such remarkable improvement of the fracture toughness.

Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite

(Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution was heated in a reducing atmosphere (H₂) to precipitate metallic Ni in the sintered body. Too long reduction time made cracking of the sintered bodies mainly due to the growth of grain size. Sintered bodies were broken at reduction temperature below 1300°C because the temperature around 1200°C was near the stable region of monoclinic phase. After examining several conditions, it was found that the condition of 1300°C and 2.5 h is most suitable for the




Fig. 2. TEM image of Ni particles in Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite.

present system. Thus, obtained Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite had high density (> 96.5%) with tetragonal phase kept. The TEM image of Y-TZP/0.1 vol% Ni nanocomposite was shown in Fig. 2, in which metal Ni particles with the size of several tens of nanometers were homogeneously dispersed. The fracture toughness of Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite maintained the same value as that of (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution. It is considered that all NiO in (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution is not precipitated as metal Ni during the heat treatment for reduction, but part of NiO is left as solid-soluted into Y-TZP matrix.

Measurement of magnetic properties was carried out at room temperature by SQUID magnetometer. The shape of magnetization curve and the small hysteresis were typical for ferromagnetic behavior of metal Ni. The coercivity of the nanocomposite was improved (16 Oe) compared with pure Ni (1 Oe). It is well-known that coercivity of ferromagnetic materials is strongly depend on the particle size, and the smaller particle size is the larger coercivity is. Finally, the materials exhibited superparamagnetic behavior when the particle size becomes below its critical size. The critical size was reported about 20~30 nm [8, 9], although the critical size changes by fabrication process, residual stress, impurities, etc. In this study, the size of Ni was around the critical size as seen in Fig. 2. Therefore, a part of Ni is considered to become superparamagnetic state.

Conclusion

(Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution was successfully obtained

by conventional pressureless-sintering. It was confirmed that NiO played the role of a destabilizer and as a result, fracture toughness was improved. Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite with fine Ni dispersion also was successfully obtained through internal reduction. The fracture toughness was found in the same level of (Y, Ni)-TZP solid solution, because a part of NiO was left solid-soluted into Y-TZP matrix. Y-TZP/Ni nanocomposite exhibited ferromagnetic behavior with enhanced coercivity.

References

- H. Tsubakino, R. Nozato, and M. Hamamoto, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 74[2] (1991) 440-43.
- D.-J. Kim, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 73[1] (1990) 115-20.
- D.-J. Kim, H.-J. Jung, J.-W. Jang and H.-L. Lee, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 81[9] (1998) 2309-14.
- S. Chen, W. Deng, and P. Shen, Materials Science and Engineering, B22 (1994) 247-255.
- T. Sekino, T. Nakajima, S. Ueda, and K. Niihara, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 80[5] (1997) 1139-48.
- H. Toraya, M. Yoshimura, and S. Somiya, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 67 (1984) C-119.
- S. Ramesh, C. Gill and S. Lawson, Journal of Materials Science, 34 (1999) 5457-5467.
- W. Gong, H. Li, Z. Zhao, and J. Chen, Journal of Applied Physics, 69(8) (1991) 5119-5121.
- C. Estournes, T. Lutz, J. Happich, T. Quaranta, P. Wissler, and J.L. Guille, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, 173 (1997) 83-92.