JOURNALOF

Ceramic Processing Research

Rheological properties of Mg based feedstocks with micro or nano Al₂O₃ powder for injection molding

Sareh Mohammad Taheri^a, Ebrahim Ghasemi^{b,*}, Masoud Alizadeh^a and Rahim Yazdani Rad^a

^aDepartment of Ceramic, Materials and Energy Research Center (MERC) 14155-4777, Tehran, Iran ^bInstitute for color science and technology (ICST) 1668814811, Tehran, Iran

In powder injection molding process, the rheological properties of feedstocks significantly influences the steady flow and the uniform filling into the mold. In this study, we investigated the effect of micro and nano size particles of Al_2O_3 on the rheological properties of Mg-Al_2O_3 feedstocks. A binder system containing Paraffin wax, Bees wax, and Stearic acid was synthesized. This binder was mixed with pure Mg and Al_2O_3 powders in various proportions. The Al_2O_3 powders contained both nano- and micro-Al_2O_3 differing in concentration from one sample to another. Flow characteristics of the samples were then investigated under different circumstances of shear rate and temperature by means of a rotary rheometer. Viscosity of the feedstocks was found to be decreased with micro size Al_2O_3 content whereas increased via nano size Al_2O_3 .

Key words: Injection moulding, Micro-Al₂O₃, Nano-Al₂O₃, Rheological properties, Mg-Al₂O₃.

Introduction

Development of new structural materials with higher strength-to-weight ratios is one of the main challenges in transportation industry to reduce fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions [1]. Discontinuously reinforced magnesium matrix composites (DRMMCs) have been receiving great attention in weight critical structure applications such as automotive and aerospace equipment because of their high specific properties, good damping capacities, high wear-resistance, and relative ease of fabrication [2]. As the lightest structural metal, magnesium is considered for many other structural applications such as bicycle frames, computer hardware and portable electronic equipments [3, 4]. In medical science, magnesium alloys are very promising candidates for degradable implants [5].

Compared to traditional powder metallurgy, powder injection molding (PIM) process allows for producing high density products, more intricate shape, higher mechanical properties, and better surface finish than that of traditional powder metallurgy products [6]. This process involves mixing either metal or ceramic powder with a binder to produce a feedstock. During molding, pressure is applied to the feedstock which then flows into and fills a mold to form a green part with the desired shape. The resulting part is then debinded and sintered to full or near full density. The molding stage is a critical step for the fabrication of sound parts without cracks and distortions. It requires specific rheological behavior, so that the rheological characteristics of feed stocks are of crucial importance [6].

Non-homogenous flow and powder-binder separation can produce defects during molding, which result in crack formation during debinding and sintering, and ultimately poor physical and mechanical properties of the final PIM product. Amongst different parameters, viscosity is the most important predictor of feedstock quality which influences the success of molding stage. It is known that a sound molded part would be obtained when the viscosity is controlled within a narrow range. Therefore, the rheological behavior and stability of PIM feedstock are key features for successful manufacturing [7].

In this study, PIM process is applied to the magnesium based composite. A plethora of investigations have so far been conducted on rheological properties of various feedstocks. Scanty publications deals with the rheological properties of Mg based feedstocks.

In this work the rheological characteristics of Mg- Al_2O_3 composite feedstocks and the influence of different parameters such as concentration and particle size of Al_2O_3 and temperature are investigated.

Experimental

Materials

The magnesium was in commercial grade and the micro- and nano-alumina powders were provided by Martinswerk and Nabond, respectively. The characteristics of the magnesium and alumina powders are summarized in table 1.

The binder composed of paraffin wax (PW) from Behran Oil Industry, refined beeswax (BW) was from a

^{*}Corresponding author:

Tel:+98-2122951484

Fax: +98-2122947537

E-mail: eghasemi@iust.ac.ir

Powder	d ₅₀	Density (g/cm ³)	shape	Purity (%)
Mg	53 µm	1.74	irregular	97
micro-Al ₂ O ₃	0.5-0.7 μm	3.92	Spherical	> 99.8
$nano\text{-}Al_2O_3$	30 nm	3.98	Spherical	> 99

 Table 1. Characteristics of magnesium and alumina powders.

Table 2. Characteristics of binder components.

Component	Chemical	Melting	Density
component	formula	temperature (°C)	(g/cm^3)
PW	$C_{25}H_{52}$	75	0.90
BW	$C_{15}H_{31}COOC_{30}H_{61}$	66	0.96
SA	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₁₆ COOH	71	0.94

Table 3. Mg-micro Al₂O₃ mixtures (wt.%).

Sample code-	Powder (33 wt %)		Binder (67 wt %)		
	Mg	Micro-Al ₂ O ₃	SA	BW	PW
MA0	100	0	6	10	84
MAM5	95	5	6	10	84
MAM10	90	10	6	10	84
MAM15	85	15	6	10	84
MAM20	80	20	6	10	84

Table 4. Mg-nano Al₂O₃ mixtures (wt.%).

Sample code -	Powder (33 wt %)		Binc	Binder (67 wt %)		
	Mg	Nano-Al ₂ O ₃	SA	BW	PW	
MA0	100	0	6	10	84	
MAN1	99	1	6	10	84	
MAN2.5	97.5	2.5	6	10	84	
MAN5	95	5	6	10	84	
MAN10	90	10	6	10	84	

locally chemicals vendor and granulated stearic acid (SA) from Merck. The characteristics of the binder components are listed in table 2.

The microstructure of the starting powders was studied by a scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi S-4160) and a transmission electron microscopy (Zeiss em-900). Simultaneous thermal analysis (STA) was conducted on the binders. The examined temperature range was 0 to 600 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min in an argon atmosphere with a flow rate of 100 cm³/min.

Samples

Nine feedstocks were prepared according to tables 3, 4. For all Mg-Al₂O₃ bimodal powders, the mixture of powders were prepared by blending pure magnesium and Al₂O₃ powder in a RFTSCH PM-400 mechanical alloying machine for 1 h under argon atmosphere with the speed of 200 rpm. Alumina powders were dried before mixing in an oven at 110 °C for 1 h.

Results and Discussion

Specifications of binder

During mixing and injection, the temperature should not be less than the highest melting temperature of binder components, nor should it exceed the lowest decomposition temperature of the binder components. Then determination of these temperatures range is important. Fig. 1 shows the STA test result of binder. A small endothermic peak in 75 °C in DTA curve is related to melting point of binder and the weight loss



Fig. 1. STA of the binder.



Fig. 2. Viscosity versus shear rate at 75 $^{\circ}$ C of (a) Mg-micro Al₂O₃ feedstocks and (b) Mg-nano Al₂O₃ feedstocks.



Fig. 3. SEM micrographs of (a) magnesium powder (b) micro- Al₂O₃ powder and (c) TEM graph of nano- Al₂O₃ powder.

which is started at about 200 °C reveals the decomposition of binder.

Mixing operation for all feedstocks was carried out by an internal mixer at a rotating speed of 20 rpm at 75 °C for 15 min. The viscosity of the formulations was measured using a rotary rheometer (Physica MCR 301) after each mixing process. Generally in PIM, the shear rate varies in the range of 100 to 1000 S-1 [8], thus shear rates within this range was applied for all of rheological studies. The test temperature was maintained at 75 °C which is within the above mentioned temperature range.

Viscosity measurement

Viscosity is the most important parameter in determining the rheological behavior of the PIM feedstock. For example, a high viscosity hinders the molding process while a low viscosity separates the binder from the powder [9]. In addition, for PIM, the shear rate can vary from 100 to 1000 s⁻¹ and the flow rate requires a viscosity of less than 1000 Pa.s during injection molding [8]. Fig. 2 indicates the rheological behavior of feedstocks at 75 °C.

The viscosity of MA0 sample at shear rate of $1-10 \text{ s}^{-1}$ decreases drastically which is due to relatively weak structures in feedstock that is broken with a low shear rate. At shear rates between 10 and 100 s⁻¹, it exhibits a shear thickening (dilatant) flow behavior, i.e. the apparent viscosity increases with the shear rate. The mechanism of dilatancy is controlled by factors such as concentration, shape anisotropy, particle size and

density, through changing particle interaction [9]. In concentrated systems, applying of shear produces a rearrangement of solids causing a mechanical non-spherical particles process when subjected to shear. This significantly increases the occupied volume and the effective concentration. Large and dense particles possess greater inertia and thus are momentarily retarded, then accelerated when subjected to shear. The energy expenditure required to accomplish this acceleration results in an additional resistance to flow, or a higher apparent viscosity [10]. Fig. 3 shows the morphologies of Mg, micro-Al₂O₃ and nano-Al₂O₃ particles. It can be seen that magnesium particles are irregular.

The dilatancy behavior can be related to the morphology of these particles. It is clear that alumina particles are relatively spherical. The spherical morphology allows for the reduction of inter particle friction and subsequently yields shear thinning behavior. At shear rates between 100-1000 (s⁻¹), all samples exhibited shear thinning (pseudo plastic) behavior and the viscosity decreased as the shear rate increased. The declining of viscosity with increasing shear rate indicates particle (or binder molecule) orientation and ordering with flow, which may improve the homogeneity of the feedstock. It is clear that all samples containing microand nano-Al₂O₃ yielded only shear thinning behavior. Thus it can be concluded that addition of nano- and micro-Al₂O₃ to Mg powder leads to an increase in attraction interaction between particles and this is why shear thinning is the prominent rheological behavior in all shear rates in Al_2O_3 containing feedstocks. In order to describe this phenomenon, it can be considered that higher Al_2O_3 content can fill the voids within the particles of Mg powder and makes the particle size distribution of the feedstock smoother. This can also affect the powder-binder interaction and prevent its sudden fluctuations due to changes in shear rate.

It can be seen from Fig. 2 that with increasing the amount of nano-Al₂O₃, the viscosity of feed stocks containing nano-Al₂O₃, initially increases and then decreases, while the viscosity of those with more micro-Al₂O₃ content decreases with increasing the amount of micro-Al₂O₃. Formation of topical aggregates is probable for nano-Al₂O₃ due to their higher specific surface area than micro-Al₂O₃ particles. These aggregates can decrease the viscosity of paste in low concentrations via their arrangement within small voids of Mg particles. Higher concentrations of nano-Al₂O₃, however, increase their interactions causing an increase in viscosity. For micro-Al₂O₃ the interactions of particles are lower than that of nano particles. Moreover, as Al₂O₃ particles have spherical shape, an increase in their concentration leads to a decrease in viscosity.

Effect of shear rate

• ...

The Ostwald and De Waele power law [8] was used to study the correlation of viscosity to shear rate at a given temperature:

$$\tau = k\gamma^{''} \tag{1}$$

Where τ is the shear stress, γ is the shear rate, *k* is a constant and *n* is the flow behavior exponent. The value of *n* indicates the degree of shear sensitivity. Unlike Newtonian fluids, viscosity of non-Newtonian fluids varies as shear rate fluctuates. Dilatant fluids (n > 1) exhibit an increase in viscosity at an enhanced shear rate. In pseudoplastic substances (n < 1), on the other hand, the viscosity decreases with increasing the shear rate [11].

The lower the value of n, the more quickly the viscosity of feedstock changes with shear rate. Injection molding of PIM feedstock is conducted under pressure and temperature. It is desirable that the viscosity of the feedstock should decrease quickly with increasing shear rate during molding. This high shear sensitivity is especially important in producing complex and delicate parts [12]. Fig. 4 indicates the shear rate against shear stress at 75 °C.

The power law equations were extracted for each sample from this figure and the values of n were obtained (Fig. 5). Expectedly n in micro-Al₂O₃ containing samples is smaller than that of nano-Al₂O₃ containing ones because samples with nano-Al₂O₃ yield more pseudoplastic behavior than micro-Al₂O₃ containing ones. In other words, the attractive interactions between particles are stronger for nano particles than micro



Fig. 4. Shear stress versus shear rate of feed stocks at 75 °C of (a) Mg-micro Al₂O₃ feedstocks and (b) Mg-nano Al₂O₃ feedstocks.



Fig. 5. Variations of n versus Al₂O₃ content.

particles.

It is clear that the feedstock with larger amount of micro-Al₂O₃ powder shows more pseudoplastic flow behavior than other feedstocks and is more suitable for injection molding. Whilst, feedstocks with a higher contents of nano-Al₂O₃ powder is more difficult for injection. Overall, unlike nano-Al₂O₃ powder, addition of micro-Al₂O₃ powder makes molding easier and is more favorable from this point of view.



Fig. 6. log viscosity versus $\frac{1000}{T}$ at 75 °C of (a) Mg-micro Al₂O₃ feedstocks and (b) Mg-nano Al₂O₃ feedstocks.



Fig. 7. Variations of activation energy versus Al₂O₃ content.

Effect of temperature

Temperature dependency of viscosity is another prime factor to determine fluid characteristics. With a good approximation, Arrhenius equation can be utilized to describe correlation of viscosity and temperature [13]:

$$\eta = \eta_0 exp\left(\frac{E}{RT}\right) \tag{2}$$

Where η_{θ} is the reference viscosity, *E* is the flow activation energy, *R* is the gas constant and *T* is the absolute temperature. The value of *E* expresses the effect of temperature on the viscosity of the feedstock.

Fig. 6 reveals the log viscosity versus 1000/T.

The slopes of the graphs indicate the temperaturedependency of viscosity, which should be minimized as much as possible to avoid sharp viscosity changes that reduce the flowability of the feedstocks and causes stress concentrations, cracking and distortion in the molded parts. In addition, a weak temperature-dependence of viscosity allows for greater pressure transmission to the cavity and helps to prevent shrinkage-related defects [13]. As it can be seen from Fig. 6, the slopes of curves are lower for all Al_2O_3 containing samples than that for MA0 sample.

The value of E was determined from Fig. 6 and was not constant at various measured temperatures. At initial studied temperatures E has almost a constant value but at higher temperatures it subsides to zero. It means that the interactions of particles in feedstock depend weakly on temperature. In other words, an increase in the Al_2O_3 content increased the amount of small particles of the system and the specific surface area of solid content. Thus, a higher temperature is necessary to reduce the activation energy of this sample down to zero.

Fig. 7 shows the variations of E versus Al_2O_3 wt %. The addition of Al_2O_3 powder in micro and nano size effectively decreases the value of E. This reduction is larger in micro- Al_2O_3 than nano- Al_2O_3 . Therefore, it seems that particle size distribution of Al_2O_3 influences temperature dependency of viscosity more than its dependency on shear rate [14]. This is also worth mentioning that with increasing the amount of micro- Al_2O_3 powder, E decreases while with increasing the amount of nano- Al_2O_3 powder, it increases. In fact this is another reason for this claim that nanoparticles of Al_2O_3 increases the interactions of particles due to their active surfaces while micro size Al_2O_3 particles diminishes the friction between particles just due to their spherical morphology.

General rheological behavior

For most applications of feedstocks, viscosity depends negligibly on shear rate or temperature. In order to establish a general molding index, the model Weir proposed for polymers can be used [7]. The influence of Al_2O_3 addition on the rheological behavior of Mg feedstock was evaluated according to the following equation:

$$\alpha = \frac{n}{E\eta} \tag{3}$$

Where α is the general molding index and η is the viscosity at 100 s⁻¹. In the absence of problems such as jetting or high residual stresses, the higher value of α is



Fig. 8. Variations of a for feedstocks.

desirable since feedstocks with low *n* values are prone to powder-binder separation [7]. The relative α values for examined feedstocks at 75 °C and shear rate of 100 s⁻¹ can be seen in Fig. 8.

It can be seen that with increasing the amount of micro-Al₂O₃, α continuously increases and improves the condition for injection compared to the feedstock without micro-Al₂O₃. On the other hand, addition of nano-Al₂O₃ up to 1 wt% increases α and further increment of nano-Al₂O₃ decreases it. It reveals that feedstocks with high contents of nano-Al₂O₃ are not suitable for injection molding.

Conclusions

Effects of addition of micro and nano Al₂O₃ powders on rheological properties of Mg based feedstocks were studied. It was found that the addition of Al₂O₃ can modify the rheological properties of such feedstocks. The results revealed that the rheological properties tangibly differ from micro to nano Al₂O₃ containing samples. Rheological properties were also found to depend on the concentration of Al_2O_3 in either size. All compounds exhibit the pseudo plastic flow behavior after the addition of Al_2O_3 . For rheological properties, the power law index (n), was more sensitive to binder than powder characterization. It was found that the micro size Al_2O_3 decreases the viscosity and activation energy of feedstock due to its friction reduction effect. Reversely, nano size Al_2O_3 resulted in an increase in the viscosity and activation energy of the studied feedstock mainly due to the active surfaces of nanoparticles.

References

- M. Habibnejad-Korayem, R. Mahmudi, and W.J. Poole, Materials Science and Engineering A 519 (2009) 198-203.
- Y.L. XiT, D.L. Chai, W.X. Zhang and J.E. Zhou, Mat. Let. 59 (2005) 1831-1835.
- 3. A.A. Luo, J. Min., Met. & Mat. Soc. 54 (2002) 42-48.
- Y.V.R.K. Prasad, K.P. Rao, and M. Gupta, Comp. Sci. & Tech. 69 (2009) 1070-1076.
- 5. N. Hort, and D.I. Martin, Powder. Inj. Mould. Int. 2 [2] (2008) 63-65.
- M.E. Sotomayor, A.Várez, and B. Levenfeld, Powder Technology 200 (2010) 30-36.
- M. Khakbiz, A. Simchi, and R. Bagheri, Mat. Sci. & Eng. A 407 (2005) 105-113.
- V.A. Krauss, E.N. Pires, A.N. Klein, and M.C. Fredel, Mat. Res. 8 [2] (2005) 187-189.
- 9. L.Y. Min, L.X. Quan, L.F. Hua, and W.E.J. Ling, Trans. Nonferrous Met. SOC. China 17 (2007) 1-8.
- H.A. Barnes, "A Handbook of Elementary Rheology", University of Wales, Institute of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics (2000) 231.
- H, Abolhasani, and N. Muhamad, J. Mat. Proc. Tech. 210 (2010) 961-968.
- S.F. Hassan, and M. Gupta, Journal of Alloys and Compounds 419 (2006) 84-90.
- B. Huang, S. Liang, and X. Qu, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 137 (2003) 132-137.
- 14. S. Ahn, S.J. Park, S. Lee, S.V. Atre, and R.M. German, Powder Technology 193 (2009) 162-169.